

# LING 364: Introduction to Formal Semantics

Lecture 17

March 9th

# Administrivia

- **No class next week**
  - Have a good Spring break!
  - next lecture: 21st March (in Comm 214)

# Last Time

- **we began looking at**
  - Chapter 5: Complexities of Referring Expressions

# Today's Topic

- Exercises for Chapter 5
- Quiz #4 at the end

# Last Time

- **Definite NPs**

- begin with a definite article (“the”)
- refer or “point” to some entity (in some world)

- **Examples**

- the dog                      the old man                      the picture of Mary
- the woman who Susan knows I met

- **Predicates**

- *cute*:                      cute(X).                      or                       $\lambda x.x$  cute
- *dog*:                      dog(X).                      or                       $\lambda x.x$  a dog

- **What is the role played by “the”?**

- The dog is cute                      cf. \*dog is cute

- **Semantic function of “the”:**

- “the” is a function (a **robot** in Chapter 5’s terms)
- takes a property, e.g. dog(X), and picks out an individual in the world, e.g. dog42

- **Example**

- The dog is cute  $\Rightarrow$  The dog(X) is cute(Y)  $\Rightarrow$  dog42 is cute(Y)  $\Rightarrow$  cute(dog42).

# Sense and Reference

- **Imagine a world**
  - Shelby is the only dog which lives at Paul's house
- Then same truth conditions for:
  - (1) *Shelby* is cute
  - (2) *The dog which lives at Paul's house* is cute
- **Imagine a different world**
  - Paul adopted a different dog, Hannibal
- Then (1) and (2) are not equivalent
  - (2) *The dog which lives at Paul's house* is cute
  - (2') *Hannibal* is cute
  - The truth of statement (2') is independent from (1)
- **Conclusion**
  - *Shelby* and *The dog which lives at Paul's house* don't have the same meaning

# Sense and Reference

- Reference:
    - a semantic object
    - e.g. shelby, dog42
  - Sense:
    - computes reference
    - given a definite description and the state of the world, produce the right or “salient” reference
    - e.g. given
      - definite description: The dog which lives at Paul’s house
      - situation (or world): Shelby is the only dog which lives at Paul’s house
- compute
- reference: shelby

# Sense and Reference

- **Sense:**
  - computes **reference**
  - e.g. given
    - definite description: *The dog which lives at Paul's house*
    - situation (or world): Shelby is the only dog which lives at Paul's house
  - compute
    - reference: shelby
- **Definite description**
  - The dog which lives at Paul's house
  - *what must be true in the world?*
    - must be some x such that
      1. `dog(x)`. is true
      2. `lives_at(x, house(paul))`. is true
      3. must be only one such x



# Exercises

- **Given grammar:** (*first attempt*)

- `np(M) --> [the], n(M).`
- `np(M) --> name(N), [''s'], n(M), {saturate1(M,N)}.`
- `np((M1,M2)) --> np(M1), rel_clause(M2), {saturate1(M1,X), saturate1(M2,X)}.`
- `n(dog(_X)) --> [dog].`
- `n(house(_X)) --> [house].`
- `name(paul) --> [paul].`
- `name(mary) --> [mary].`
- `rel_clause(M) --> [which], subj_s(M).`
- `subj_s(M) --> vp(M).`
- `vp(M) --> v(M), np(Y), {saturate2(M,Y)}.`
- `v(lives_at(_X,_Y)) --> [lives,at].`
- `saturate1(P,Y) :- arg(1,P,Y).`
- `saturate2(P,Y) :- arg(2,P,Y).`

# Exercise 1

- Given the meaning grammar in the previous slide
  - How do ask Prolog what the semantics of (1) and (2) (below) are?
  - (1) the dog
  - (2) the dog which lives at Paul's house
- Queries
- (1)
  - `?- np(M, [the, dog], []).`
- (2)
  - `?- np(M, [the, dog, which, lives, at, paul, '\ 's', house], []).`

# Exercise 2

- Create a world where Shelby is the dog that lives at Paul's house
  - **Note:** use `assert/1` to add the relevant facts to the database
- add facts
  - `dog(shelby).`
  - `lives_at(shelby,house(paul)).`

# Exercise 3

- How do we evaluate the meaning of (1) and (2):
  - (1) the dog
  - (2) the dog which lives at Paul's house
- for the scenario in Exercise 2
- [*What is incomplete about the meaning grammar so far?*]
  
- Queries:
  - ?- np(M, [the, dog], []), call(M).
  
  - ?- np(M, [the, dog, which, lives, at, paul, '\s', house], []), call(M).

# Exercise 4

- **Question:**
  - *What is incomplete about the meaning grammar so far?*
- **Answer:**
  - **the** dog should be unique
- **Question:**
  - *show a possible world that contradicts the meaning*
  - ***Hint: add facts...***

# Exercise 5

- **Question:**
  - *What is incomplete about the meaning grammar so far?*
- **Answer:**
  - **the dog** should be unique
  
- **Question:**
  - *what semantics is the meaning grammar computing?*
- **Answer:**
  - **a dog** which lives at Paul's house
  
- **Let's modify the grammar...**

# Exercise 5

- Use findall/3 and length/2
  - findall(X,P,List).
  - length(list,N).
  - ?- findall(X,dog(X),List), length(List,1).

# Quiz 4

- **Assuming**
  - $s(P) \rightarrow \text{name}(N), \text{vp}(P), \{\text{saturate1}(P,N)\}$ .
  - $\text{vp}(P) \rightarrow v(\text{copula}), \text{np\_pred}(P)$ .
  - $\text{np\_pred}(\text{cute}(\_X)) \rightarrow [\text{cute}]$ .
  - $v(\text{copula}) \rightarrow [\text{is}]$ .
- (1) **What would you need to add to make this query work?**
  - ?-  $s(M, [\text{shelby}, \text{is}, \text{cute}], [])$ .



# Quiz 4

- (2) Describe in words (or implement)
- What would you need to change to make this query work?
  - ?-  
s(M,[the,dog,which,lives,at,paul,'s',house,i  
s,cute],[]).