

# LING 364: Introduction to Formal Semantics

Lecture 13  
February 23rd

# Administrivia

- Homework 3
  - due next Thursday
  - email (midnight deadline)

# Administrivia

- *Worried about your grade?*
- **Course Philosophy**
  - Use the homeworks to **learn** and **practice** using what we've talked about in class
  - If you perform well on the homework, great!
  - If you understood the material but didn't do so well, there will be a second opportunity to display your understanding
  - **Idea:** you are not penalized for learning
- **There will be a take-home final (given out May 2nd):**
  - if you didn't do so well on the homework but perform well on the equivalent question on the take-home final, your homework score will be **up-scaled** (for the purposes of computing your final grade)
  - this second opportunity idea makes it possible to still get an A

# Homework 3

- Assume *basically*<sup>1</sup> the phrase structure and meaning grammars given in Homework 2 Review (lecture 12)
- <sup>1</sup> Note: use the following two grammars: the grammars given here are lightly customized versions of those given in lecture 12 to make the homework work out better

# Phrase Structure Grammar

- **Starting point**

- *from lecture 12 slides*

- $\text{sbar}(\text{sbar}(\mathbf{NP}, \mathbf{S})) \rightarrow \text{wh\_np}(\mathbf{NP}), \text{s}(\mathbf{S})$ .
- $\text{sbar}(\text{sbar}(\mathbf{S})) \rightarrow \text{s}(\mathbf{S})$ .
- $\text{s}(\text{s}(\mathbf{VP})) \rightarrow \text{vp}(\mathbf{VP})$ .
- $\text{s}(\text{s}(\mathbf{NP}, \mathbf{VP})) \rightarrow \text{np}(\mathbf{NP}), \text{vp}(\mathbf{VP})$ .
- $\text{wh\_np}(\text{np}(\mathbf{who})) \rightarrow [\text{who}]$ .
- $\text{np}(\text{np}(\mathbf{john})) \rightarrow [\text{john}]$ .
- $\text{np}(\text{np}(\mathbf{pete})) \rightarrow [\text{pete}]$ .
- $\text{np}(\text{np}(\mathbf{mary})) \rightarrow [\text{mary}]$ .
- $\text{np}(\text{np}(\mathbf{Det}, \mathbf{N})) \rightarrow \text{det}(\mathbf{Det}), \text{n}(\mathbf{N})$ .
- $\text{np}(\text{np}(\mathbf{Neg}, \mathbf{NP})) \rightarrow \text{neg}(\mathbf{Neg}), \text{np}(\mathbf{NP})$ .
- $\text{np}(\text{np}(\mathbf{NP1}, \mathbf{Conj}, \mathbf{NP2})) \rightarrow \text{np}(\mathbf{NP1}), \text{conj}(\mathbf{Conj}), \text{np}(\mathbf{NP2})$ .
- $\text{neg}(\text{neg}(\mathbf{not})) \rightarrow [\text{not}]$ .
- $\text{conj}(\text{conj}(\mathbf{and})) \rightarrow [\text{and}]$ .
- $\text{vp}(\text{vp}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{NP})) \rightarrow \text{v}(\mathbf{V}), \text{np}(\mathbf{NP})$ .
- $\text{v}(\text{v}(\mathbf{is})) \rightarrow [\text{is}]$ .
- $\text{det}(\text{det}(\mathbf{a})) \rightarrow [\text{a}]$ .
- $\text{n}(\text{n}(\mathbf{student})) \rightarrow [\text{student}]$ .
- $\text{n}(\text{n}(\mathbf{baseball\_fan})) \rightarrow [\text{baseball}, \text{fan}]$ .

# Meaning Grammar

- **Starting point**

- *from lecture 12 slides*

- `saturate1((P1,P2),X) :- !, saturate1(P1,X), saturate1(P2,X).`
- `saturate1((\+ P),X) :- !, saturate1(P,X).`
- `saturate1(P,X) :- arg(1,P,X).`
- `sbar(P) --> wh_np(X), s(P), {saturate1(P,X)}.`
- `sbar(P) --> s(P).`
- `s(P) --> vp(P).`
- `s(P) --> np(X), vp(P), {saturate1(P,X)}.`
- `np(john) --> [john].`
- `np(pete) --> [pete].`
- `np(mary) --> [mary].`
- `np(P) --> det(a), n(P).`
- `np((\+ P)) --> neg, np(P).`
- `np((P1,P2)) --> np(P1), conj(and), np(P2).`
- `wh_np(_X) --> [who].`
- `neg --> [not].`
- `conj(and) --> [and].`
- `vp(P) --> v(copula), np(P).`
- `v(copula) --> [is].`
- `det(a) --> [a].`
- `n(student(_X)) --> [student].`
- `n(baseball_fan(_X)) --> [baseball,fan].`

`_X` is a variable:

*leading underscore prevents warning messages about singleton variables*

`!` is the cut symbol:

*prevents Prolog from trying other cases when looking for more solutions*

# Homework 3

- We'll do exercises 1 and 2 in class today.
- You'll do exercises 3 and 4
- Exercise 5 is extra-credit
  
- I've also tentatively reserved the Computer Lab classroom for next Thursday (3:30pm – 4:45pm)
  - for Homework 3 questions

# Exercise 1

- **Part A:**
- (3pts) Modify the phrase structure grammar to handle
  - (1) Shelby is small
  - (2) Shelby is a dog
  - (3) Hannibal is a dog
- Use the following phrase structure for (1):
  - [<sub>Sbar</sub> [<sub>S</sub> [<sub>NP</sub> Shelby][<sub>VP</sub> [<sub>V</sub> is] [<sub>AP</sub> [<sub>A</sub> small]]]]]
  - AP = adjectival phrase, A = adjective
- **Part B:**
- (3pts) Modify the meaning grammar to handle examples (1)–(3)
- For parts (A) and (B), submit the grammars as well as the Prolog execution

# Exercise 2

- **Possible worlds**
  - recall (from homework 1)
    - `?- assert(Fact) .` adds Fact to the database
    - `?- retract(Fact) .` removes Fact from the database
- **(3pts) Part A**
- Use your meaning grammar to interpret and add the Prolog equivalent of examples (1) through (3) from Exercise 1
  - e.g. `?- sbar(M, [shelby, is, a, dog], []), assert(M) .`
  - should result in fact  
`dog(shelby) .`
  - being added to the database
    - check with `?- listing.` or `?- dog(X) .`
- Submit your Prolog execution and database check

# Exercise 2

- **Possible worlds**
  - Prolog built-in `call/1`
    - `?- call(Fact) .` true if `Fact` is provable from the database (false, otherwise)
- **(5pts) Part B**
- Modify your meaning grammar to get answers to the following questions (given the facts in Part A):
  - (4a) Who is small and a dog?
  - (4b) Who is a dog and not small?
  - e.g. `?- sbar(Q, [who, is, small, and, a, dog], []), call(Q) .`
  - should come back with `shelby` as an answer
  - **Note:** your `Q` should be something like: `(small(X), dog(X))`
  - **Note:** you need to handle the semantics for “*not small*”
- Submit your Prolog execution

# Exercise 3

- **Relative Clauses**
- **Part A (4pts)**
- Modify your phrase structure and meaning grammars to parse:
  - (5) Shelby saw Hannibal
- **Part B (6pts)**
- Modify your phrase structure and meaning grammars to parse:
  - (6) Hannibal is who Shelby saw
- Submit your grammars and the Prolog execution

# Exercise 4

- **Adjectives (Intersective interpretation)**
- (6pts) Modify your phrase structure and meaning grammars to handle:
  - (7) Ossie is a bird            `bird(ossie).`
  - (8) Ossie is tall            `tall(ossie).`
    - *tall*: **predicative adjective**
  - (9) Ossie is a tall bird
    - tall: **attributive adjective** (modifies noun *bird*)
    - use the **intersective interpretation** here
    - use (simplified) phrase structure
    - *a tall bird*            `[NP [Det a][N [A tall][N bird]]]`
- Submit your grammars and the Prolog execution

# Exercise 5

- **Extra Credit (10pts)**
- Modify your meaning grammar to handle
  - (9) Ossie is a tall bird
- with the semantics of “tall” being relativized for “birds”
- i.e. compute some meaning expression where the truth conditions are that:
  - (A) Ossie is a bird
  - (B) Ossie is tall with respect to birds in general
  - e.g.
    - you could use the “average bird” idea discussed in lecture 12*

# Homework 3

- **Summary**
  - Exercise 1: 6pts
  - Exercise 2 (Possible Worlds): 8pts
  - Exercise 3 (Relative Clauses): 10pts
  - Exercise 4 (Adjectives): 6pts
  - TOTAL: 30 pts
- **Extra Credit**
  - Exercise 5: 10pts